

Criteria	5	4	3	2	1
Alignment (a) 12.5%	Community values are clear and the organization's alignment with them is clear, relevant, and comprehensive over time.	Community values are clear and the organization's alignment with them is clear and relevant.	Community values are clear and the organization's alignment with them is clear.	Community values are somewhat clear and the organization's alignment with them is vague.	Community values are not clearly communicated and the organization's alignment with them is missing or unclear.
Alignment (b) 12.5%	Organization efforts to equitably shift power is clear, relevant, and comprehensive over time.	Organization efforts to equitably shift power is clear and relevant.	Organization efforts to equitably shift power is clear.	Organization efforts to equitably shift power is somewhat clear.	Organization efforts to equitably shift power is missing/unclear.
Relationship (a) 12.5%	Community voices are clearly, relevantly, and comprehensively embedded within the organization's work/decisions and have been over time.	Community voices are clearly and relevantly embedded within many aspects of the organization's work/decisions currently.	Community voices are clearly embedded within a few aspects of organization's work/decisions currently.	Community voices are not yet clearly embedded within the organization's work/decisions; however, the organization has started the process of doing so.	Community voices are not clearly embedded within organization's work/decisions and the organization has not started the process of doing so.
Relationship (b) 12.5%	Community relationships to enhance well-being is clear, relevant, and comprehensive.	Community relationships to enhance well-being is clear and relevant.	Community relationships to enhance well-being is clear.	Community relationships to enhance well-being is somewhat clear.	Community relationships to enhance well-being is missing/unclear.
Impact (a) 12.5%	Community voice is clearly and comprehensively reflected in the response and organization's impact is clear, relevant, and comprehensive.	Community voice is clearly reflected in the response and the organization's impact is clear and relevant.	Community voice is clearly reflected in the response and the organization's impact is clear.	Community voice is somewhat reflected in the response and organization impact is somewhat clear.	Community voice is not reflected in the response and organization impact is unclear.

Impact (b) 12.5%	Use of funds are clear, relevant, and comprehensively connected to impact.	Use of funds are clear, relevant, and connected to impact.	Use of funds are clear and connected to impact.	Use of funds are somewhat clear and somewhat connected to impact.	Use of funds are unclear or missing.
Cohort Engagement 12.5%	Organization willingness to engage and add to the experience is clear, relevant, unique, and comprehensive.	Organization willingness to engage and add to the experience is clear, relevant, and unique.	Organization willingness to engage and add to the experience is clear.	Organization willingness to engage and add to the experience is somewhat clear.	Organization willingness to engage and add to the experience is unclear.
Financial Stewardship 12.5%	Financial information is clear, logical, comprehensive, and fully aligned with how the organization presents their work.	Financial information is clear, logical, and fully aligned with how the organization presents their work.	Financial information is clear and fully aligned with how the organization presents their work.	Financial information is somewhat clear and has some alignment with how the organization presents their work.	Financial information is unclear and/or not aligned with how the organization presents their work.